

The Audit Findings for Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue

Year ended 31 March 2023



Contents



Barrie Morris

Key Audit Partner

T 0117 305 7708

E barrie.morris@uk.gt.com

Andrew Davies

Engagement Manager

T 0117 305 7844

E andrew.davies@uk.gt.com

Suraj Hirani

Engagement In-charge

T 029 2034 7598

E suraj.m.hirani@uk.gt.com

The Key Audit Partner for Authority's Material Subsidiary is:

Alison Kerr

Firm: Albert Goodman LLP

Section

1. Headlines	3
2. Financial statements	6
3. Value for money arrangements	23
4. Independence and ethics	25

Appendices

A. Communication of audit matters to those charged with governance	28
B. Follow up of prior year recommendations	29
C. Audit Adjustments	30
D. Fees and non-audit services	32
E. Auditing developments	33
F. Management Letter of Representation	34
G. Audit opinion	37

This Audit Findings presents the observations arising from the audit that are significant to the responsibility of those charged with governance to oversee the financial reporting process, as required by International Standard on Auditing (UK) 260. Its contents have been discussed with management.

Barrie Morris
For Grant Thornton UK LLP

Date: 26 March 2024

The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit planning process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Authority or all weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No.OC307742. Registered office: 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

1. Headlines

This table summarises the key findings and other matters arising from the statutory audit of Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Authority ('the Authority') and the preparation of the group and Authority's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2023 for the attention of those charged with governance.

Financial Statements

Under International Standards of Audit (UK) (ISAs) and the National Audit Office (NAO) Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'), we are required to report whether, in our opinion:

- the group and Authority's financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the group and Authority and the group and Authority's income and expenditure for the year; and
- have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting and prepared in accordance with the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

We are also required to report whether other information published together with the audited financial statements (including the Annual Governance Statement (AGS), and the Narrative Report, are materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit, or otherwise appears to be materially misstated.

Our audit work was completed remotely during November 2023-March 2024. Our findings are summarised on pages 6 to 23. We have not identified any adjustments to the financial statements that result in an adjustment to the Authority's Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement. Audit adjustments are detailed in Appendix C. Our follow up of recommendations from the prior year's audit are detailed in Appendix B.

Our work is complete and there are no matters of which we are aware that required modification of our audit opinion (Appendix G) or material changes to the financial statements.

We have concluded that the other information to be published with the financial statements, is consistent with our knowledge of your organisation and the financial statements we have audited.

Our audit report opinion was unqualified. We have been able to satisfy ourselves that the Authority has made proper arrangements in securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

1. Headlines

Value for Money (VFM) arrangements

Under the National Audit Office (NAO) Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'), we are required to consider whether the Authority has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. Auditors are required to report in more detail on the Authority's overall arrangements, as well as key recommendations on any significant weaknesses in arrangements identified during the audit.

Auditors are required to report their commentary on the Authority's arrangements under the following specified criteria:

- Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness;
- Financial sustainability; and
- Governance

We have completed our VFM work, and our detailed commentary is set out in the separate Auditor's Annual Report, which was presented at 22 January 2024. We are satisfied that the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

Statutory duties

The Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 ('the Act') also requires us to:

- report to you if we have applied any of the additional powers and duties ascribed to us under the Act; and
- to certify the closure of the audit.

We have not exercised any of our additional statutory powers or duties.

We have completed the majority of work under the Code and expect to be able to certify the completion of the audit when we give our audit opinion.

Significant matters

We did not encounter any significant difficulties or identify any significant matters arising during our audit.

1. Headlines

National context – audit backlog

Nationally there have been significant delays in the completion of audit work and the issuing of audit opinions across the local government sector. Only 12% of local government bodies had received audit opinions in time to publish their 2021/22 accounts by the extended deadline of 30 November. There has not been a significant improvement over this last year, and the situation remains challenging. We at Grant Thornton have a strong desire and a firm commitment to complete as many audits as soon as possible and to address the backlog of unsigned opinions.

Over the course of the last year, Grant Thornton has been working constructively with DLUHC, the FRC and the other audit firms to identify ways of rectifying the challenges which have been faced by our sector, and we recognise the difficulties these backlogs have caused authorities across the country. We have also published a report setting out our consideration of the issues behind the delays and our thoughts on how these could be mitigated. Please see [About time? \(grantthornton.co.uk\)](https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/about-time/)

We would like to thank everyone at the Authority for their support in working with us. From initial flexibility around the audit commencement date, through to delivering prompt responses to audit queries. The Authority have been supportive of the process throughout and for this we would like to record our thanks.

National context – level of borrowing

All Authorities are operating in an increasingly challenging national context. With inflationary pressures placing increasing demands on Authority budgets, there are concerns as Authorities look to alternative ways to generate income. We have seen an increasing number of Authorities look to ways of utilising investment property portfolios as sources of recurrent income. Whilst there have been some successful ventures and some prudently funded by Authorities' existing resources, we have also seen some Authorities take excessive risks by borrowing sums well in excess of their revenue budgets to finance these investment schemes.

The impact of these huge debts on Authorities, the risk of potential bad debt write offs and the implications of the poor governance behind some of these decisions are all issues which now have to be considered by auditors across local authority audits. For Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Authority this has been considered as part of our work on going concern and value for money.

2. Financial Statements

Overview of the scope of our audit

This Audit Findings Report presents the observations arising from the audit that are significant to the responsibility of those charged with governance to oversee the financial reporting process, as required by International Standard on Auditing (UK) 260 and the Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'). Its contents have been discussed with management.

As auditor we are responsible for performing the audit, in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) and the Code, which is directed towards forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance. The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities for the preparation of the financial statements.

Audit approach

Our audit approach was based on a thorough understanding of the group's business and is risk based, and in particular included:

- An evaluation of the group's internal controls environment, including its IT systems and controls;
- An evaluation of the component of the group based on a measure of materiality considering this as a percentage of the group's gross revenue expenditure to assess the significance of the component and to determine the planned audit response. From this evaluation we determined that for Red One Limited specified audit procedures for revenue and expenditure were required, which was completed by Albert Goodman LLP, and
- Substantive testing on significant transactions and material account balances, including the procedures outlined in this report in relation to the key audit risks

We have not had to alter our audit plan, as communicated to you on 19 September 2023.

Conclusion

We have completed our audit of your financial statements and, issued an unqualified audit opinion following the Audit and Governance Committee meeting on 26 March 2024.

Acknowledgements

We would like to take this opportunity to record our appreciation for the assistance provided by the finance team and other staff.

2. Financial Statements



Our approach to materiality

The concept of materiality is fundamental to the preparation of the financial statements and the audit process and applies not only to the monetary misstatements but also to disclosure requirements and adherence to acceptable accounting practice and applicable law.

Materiality levels remain the same as reported in our audit plan on 19 September 2023.

We set out in the table our determination of materiality for Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Authority and group.

	Group Amount (£)	Authority Amount (£)
Materiality for the financial statements	2,300,000	2,200,000
Performance materiality	1,555,000	1,430,000
Trivial matters	115,000	110,000
Materiality for senior officer remuneration	20,000	20,000



2. Financial Statements: Significant risks

Significant risks are defined by ISAs (UK) as risks that, in the judgement of the auditor, require special audit consideration. In identifying risks, audit teams consider the nature of the risk, the potential magnitude of misstatement, and its likelihood. Significant risks are those risks that have a higher risk of material misstatement.

This section provides commentary on the significant audit risks communicated in the Audit Plan.

Risks identified in our Audit Plan	Commentary	Relevant to Authority and/or Group
<p>Management override of controls</p> <p>Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a non-rebuttable presumed risk that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities.</p> <p>We therefore identified management override of control, in particular journals, management estimates and transactions outside the course of business as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement.</p>	<p>We have completed the following work against this risk:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • evaluated the design effectiveness of management controls over journals; • analysed the journals listing and determine the criteria for selecting high risk unusual journals; • gained an understanding of the accounting estimates and critical judgements applied made by management and consider their reasonableness with regard to corroborative evidence; • evaluated the rationale for any changes in accounting policies, estimates or significant unusual transactions; and • tested unusual journals recorded during the year and after the draft accounts stage for appropriateness and corroboration. <p>We have identified no issues from our transactional risk-based testing – more analysis on the focus of this work is set out on the following page.</p> <p>As already reported in our interim findings we identified in the prior year two control deficiencies that increase the risk of management override:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Authority does not have preventative or detective controls in place to check journal postings made by team members. In addition, approval is obtained retrospectively, and 2. There is no authorisation limit on journal postings. <p>This remains the situation in 2022/23. Management have asserted that are in discussion with their systems provider and have received advice on integrating to new versions of the system. Discussions are still in progress with regards to implementing such integration.</p>	<p>Authority and group.</p>

2. Financial Statements - Key messages

Key messages arising from our financial statements work


We have identified control weaknesses with regard to the posting of journals to the ledger and the approvals process. It is important to note that, as a result of our testing, we did not identify any inappropriate journals. However, we need to report areas where controls could be strengthened or efficiencies introduced to the process and have made a recommendation in regard to journals - see Appendix B.

In summary, we identified the following weaknesses:


- The authority posted around 132,743 (312,147 in 2021/22) transaction lines with a value of over £4.1bn (£4.9bn in 2021/22) in the financial period is evidence of their regular use, compared to gross expenditure on services of around £111m (£107m in 2021/22). Manual journals can be created either by manually inputting debit and credit entries in the Integra 2 financial system, or by using a standardised excel template with macros embedded, namely Journal E-Form. In this template, finance assistant uses excel formulae to indicate whether the lines are debits or credits. There is no formal approval process for posting journals, or authorisation limit on posting journals. Although we recognise that a sequential check on journal numbers is in place to identify any missing journal templates or backing information; and a sample review is performed twice a year to check the nature of journals posted but it is done retrospectively rather than being a preventative control, and it has only been performed on fewer than ten journals each time;
- During the year, the authority posted a total of 6,725 credit lines (9491 in 2021/22) and 20,893 (25,750 in 2021/22) debit lines as 'manual' type (identified by document type starting with 'JV'). The total value of these transactions was £2.5bn (£3.2bn in 2021/22) of the total £4.1bn posted and equates to 61%. With only about sixteen journals reviewed across the whole year, the unreviewed journals amounts to a great value.

We therefore continue to recommend that the authority should enhance the retrospective review performed on journals posted and seek to implement procedures that allow journals to be authorised before being posted to the ledger.

Analysis of Journals
(by £ value)



Analysis of Journals
(by transactions)



2. Financial Statements: Significant risks

Risks identified in our Audit Plan	Commentary	Relevant to Authority and/or Group
Improper revenue recognition	<p>Under ISA 240 (UK) there is a rebuttable presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue. The presumption can be rebutted if the auditor concludes that there is no risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to revenue and expenditure recognition.</p> <p>For Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Authority, we have concluded that the greatest risk of material misstatement relates to Group Revenue.</p> <p>We have therefore identified the occurrence and accuracy of Red One Limited's trading income as a significant risk of material misstatement, and a key audit matter.</p> <p>We therefore rebutted this presumed risk for the revenue streams of the Authority because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other income streams are primarily derived from grants or formula-based income from Central Government; and • Opportunities to manipulate revenue recognition are very limited. <p>We re-considered this assessment on receipt of the draft financial statement and did not identify any reasons to change this assessment.</p> <p>In our Audit Plan we further set out that for Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Authority, the greatest risk of material misstatement relates to Group Revenue. We therefore identified the occurrence and accuracy of Red One Ltd trading income as a significant risk of material misstatement, and a key audit matter.</p> <p>Albert Goodman have completed their audit of the subsidiary company and have identified no issues regarding revenue recognition. We have reviewed their work, and we are satisfied that their findings are reflective of the work completed.</p> <p>We are therefore able to conclude that there are no issues to report in relation to revenue recognition.</p>	<p>Group only. Rebutted for the Authority.</p>

2. Financial Statements: Significant risks

Risks identified in our Audit Plan	Commentary	Relevant to Authority and/or Group
The expenditure cycle includes fraudulent transactions (rebutted)	<p>Practice Note 10: Audit of Financial Statements of Public Sector Bodies in the United Kingdom (PN10) states: "As most public bodies are net spending bodies, then the risk of material misstatement due to fraud related to expenditure may be greater than the risk of material misstatements due to fraud related to revenue recognition". Public sector auditors therefore need to consider whether they have any significant concerns about fraudulent financial reporting of expenditure which would need to be treated as a significant risk for the audit.</p> <p>We have rebutted this presumed risk for Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Authority because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • expenditure is well controlled, and the Authority has a strong control environment; and • the Authority has clear and transparent reporting of its financial plans and financial position to the Authority. <p>For Red One Limited expenditure is well controlled, with elements of support provided by the Authority. There is regular reporting to the Authority which includes expenditure.</p> <p>We therefore did not consider this to be a significant risk for Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Authority and the wider group.</p> <p>We reconsidered this rebuttal on receipt of the draft financial statements and concluded that this remained appropriate.</p> <p>No specific work is planned as the presumed risk has been rebutted.</p> <p>Our general expenditure testing has not identified any significant issues, and Red One Limited's auditors did not identify any matters in relation to expenditure recognition.</p>	Authority and group.

2. Financial Statements: Significant risks

Risks identified in our Audit Plan	Commentary	Relevant to Authority and/or Group
<p>Valuation of land and buildings and the key assumptions and judgements that underpin this significant estimate</p> <p>The Authority revalues its land and buildings on an annual basis to ensure that the carrying value is not materially different from the current value at the financial statements date. This valuation represents a significant estimate by management in the financial statements due to the size of the numbers involved (£115m) and the sensitivity of this estimate to changes in key assumptions.</p> <p>Management have engaged the services of a valuer to estimate the current value as at 31 March 2023.</p> <p>The valuation of land and buildings is a key accounting estimate which is sensitive to changes in assumptions and market conditions.</p> <p>We therefore identified valuation of land and buildings as a significant risk, in particular, any large or unusual assets or where there have been movements in valuations outside our expectations, as well as testing a sample of those within our expectations. This is one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement, and a key audit matter.</p> <p>All assets are revalued each year, either through a detailed or desktop valuation.</p>	<p>We have:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> evaluated management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate, the instructions issued to valuation experts and the scope of their work; evaluated the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the valuation expert; written to the valuer to confirm the basis on which the valuation was carried out; and tested revaluations made during the year to see if they had been input correctly into the Authority's asset register. challenged the information and assumptions used by the valuer to assess completeness and consistency with our understanding, the Authority's valuer's report and the assumptions that underpin the valuation. <p>Our work identified no significant issues. We have identified 2 amendments that we wish to bring to your attention:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> During our examination of the accounting policies, it was observed that the current Depreciation Policy states, "Vehicles, plant, and furniture and equipment (UPFE) – useful economic life (UEL) assessed to range from 5-7 years." However depreciation is calculated on VPFE using UPFEs ranging from 5-30 years. We are satisfied with the UELs used as these represent the diverse range of assets. We have therefore requested that the accounting policy be updated to reflect the application. We also noted that note 11.1 was not fully Code compliant. This not should reflect the assets revalued over the past 5 years. This current shows those assets valued in year. We have requested that this table be fully populated. <p>Based on the work completed we are able to conclude that land and building balances and related disclosures are fairly stated.</p>	Authority.

2. Financial Statements: Significant risks

Risks identified in our Audit Plan	Commentary	Relevant to Authority and/or Group
<p>Valuation of the pension fund net liability and the key assumptions that underpin this significant estimate</p> <p>The Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) pension net liability as reflected in the balance sheet, and asset and liability information disclosed in the notes to the accounts, represent a significant estimate in the financial statements.</p> <p>The Firefighters Pension scheme's pension fund liability as reflected in the balance sheet and notes to the accounts also represents a significant estimate in the financial statements.</p> <p>These estimates by their nature are subject to significant estimation uncertainty being sensitive to small adjustments in the key assumptions used.</p> <p>The actuarial assumptions used are the responsibility of the entity but should be set on the advice given by the actuary. A small change in the key assumptions (discount rate, inflation rate, salary increase and life expectancy) can have a significant impact on the estimated IAS 19 liability. In particular the discount and inflation rates, where our consulting actuary has indicated that a 0.1% increase in the discount rate would reduce the LGPS liability by £1.3m. The same change would reduce the firefighter pensions liability by £9.1m. We have therefore concluded that there is a significant risk of material misstatement in the IAS 19 estimate due to the assumptions used in their calculation. With regard to these assumptions, we have therefore identified valuation of the Authority's pension fund net liability as a significant risk.</p>	<p>We have completed the following work against this risk:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • updated our understanding of the processes and controls put in place by management to ensure that the Authority's pension fund net liability is not materially misstated and evaluate the design of the associated controls; • evaluated the instructions issued by management to their management expert (an actuary) for this estimate and the scope of the actuary's work; • assessed the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the actuary who carried out the Authority's pension fund valuation; • assessed the accuracy and completeness of the information provided by the Authority to the actuary to estimate the liability; • tested the consistency of the pension fund asset and liability and disclosures in the notes to the core financial statements with the actuarial report from the actuary; and • undertaken procedures to confirm the reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions made by reviewing the report of the consulting actuary (as auditor's expert) and performing any additional procedures suggested within the report; and • considered assurances obtained from the auditor of the Devon Pension Fund as to the controls surrounding the validity and accuracy of membership data; contributions data and benefits data sent to the actuary by the pension fund and the fund assets valuation in the pension fund financial statements. <p>Our work has not identified any significant issues. We did identify a number of variances between the IAS19 disclosures and the IAS19 report from the actuary. The Authority have corrected these in the final set of financial statements.</p> <p>Based on the work completed we are able to conclude that the pension fund balance and related disclosures are fairly stated.</p>	<p>Authority</p>

2. Financial Statements: Key findings arising from the group audit

Component	Component auditor	Findings	Group audit impact
Red One Limited	Albert Goodman LLP	<p>This is the second year that the Authority has produced Group Accounts.</p> <p>In 2021/22 we reported that a number of amendments were required to the Groups statements and supporting notes.</p> <p>We are pleased to report that our work in this area is complete, and we have no significant issues to report.</p> <p>One small amendment was made to ensure the Authorities related party note was aligned to the balances with Red One agreed as part of the Group Audit.</p> <p>Red One Limited's Auditors have concluded their audit prior to the Authority producing its draft financial statements. They reported that there were no unadjusted misstatements, or any other significant matters.</p> <p>As part of our procedures, we have reviewed Red One Limited's auditors work and have concluded that the findings are in line with the work completed and sufficient assurance was gained.</p> <p>We have completed our detailed group procedures including the consolidation of the subsidiary and have no issues to report in this regard.</p> <p>Based on the work completed we are able to conclude that the group accounts, and notes, are fairly stated.</p>	Our work on the group is complete.

2. Financial Statements: key judgements and estimates

This section provides commentary on key estimates and judgements in line with the enhanced requirements for auditors.

Significant judgement or estimate	Summary of management's approach	Audit Comments	Assessment
Land and Building valuations – £115m	<p>Other land and buildings comprises £115m of assets, that are revalued at either depreciated replacement cost (DRC) at year end, (reflecting the cost of a modern equivalent asset necessary to deliver the same service provision) or at existing use value (EUV) at year end. Other non Land and Building assets totalling £17.7m are valued at historic cost in line with the Code. The Authority has engaged Norfolk Property Services to complete the valuation of properties as at 31 March 2022. All assets were revalued in 2021/22.</p> <p>The total year end valuation of land and buildings was £115m, a net increase of £5m from 2021/22 (£110m).</p> <p>We have concluded that the estimates are reasonable.</p>	<p>Our work on this estimate is complete. We have carried out the following work in relation to this estimate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> assessed management's expert to ensure suitably qualified and independent, assessed the completeness and accuracy of the underlying information used to determine the estimate, confirmed there were no changes to valuation method, assessed the consistency of the estimate against near neighbours an indices report from Montague Evans, and assessed the adequacy of disclosure of the estimate in the financial statements. <p>We have concluded the estimate is reasonable.</p>	Light purple

Assessment

- [Dark Purple] We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
- [Blue] We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
- [Grey] We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
- [Light Purple] We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

2. Financial Statements - key judgements and estimates









Significant judgement or estimate	Summary of management's approach	Audit Comments	Assessment																								
Net pension liability – £604m	<p>The Authority's total net pension liability at 31 March 2022 is £604mm (PY £922m), which includes both the Devon Pension Fund Local Government funded defined benefit scheme and the Firefighters unfunded defined benefit pension scheme obligations. The Authority uses Barnett Waddingham to provide actuarial valuations of the Authority's assets and liabilities derived from these schemes. A full actuarial valuation is required every three years.</p> <p>The latest full actuarial valuation was completed in 2022. Given the significant value of the net pension fund liability, small changes in assumptions can result in significant valuation movements. There has been a £343m net actuarial gain during 2022/23.</p>	<p>Our work on this estimate is complete. We have carried out the following procedures in relation to this estimate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> assessed management's expert to ensure suitably qualified and independent, assessed the actuary's roll forward approach taken, we have used PwC as auditor's expert to assess actuary and assumptions made by actuary. The table below summarises where Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Authority fall in the acceptable ranges set by PwC. This work has highlighted that for mortality assumptions the Authority are outside of the acceptable range. We are following up each of these differences with the Authority's Actuary. <p>Local Government Pension Scheme</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assumption</th> <th>Actuary Value</th> <th>PwC range</th> <th>Assessment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Discount rate</td> <td>4.80%</td> <td>4.80% - 4.85%</td> <td>✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pension increase rate</td> <td>2.85%</td> <td>2.65% - 2.95%</td> <td>✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Salary growth</td> <td>3.85%</td> <td>0.5% to 2.5% above CPI of 2.85%</td> <td>✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Life expectancy – Males currently aged 45 / 65</td> <td>Current 21.8 Future 23.1</td> <td>Current 19.5 – 22.1 Future 20.9 – 23.4</td> <td>✓</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Life expectancy – Females currently aged 45 / 65</td> <td>Current 22.9 Future 24.4</td> <td>Current 22.9 – 24.5 Future 24.3 – 25.9</td> <td>✓</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Assumption	Actuary Value	PwC range	Assessment	Discount rate	4.80%	4.80% - 4.85%	✓	Pension increase rate	2.85%	2.65% - 2.95%	✓	Salary growth	3.85%	0.5% to 2.5% above CPI of 2.85%	✓	Life expectancy – Males currently aged 45 / 65	Current 21.8 Future 23.1	Current 19.5 – 22.1 Future 20.9 – 23.4	✓	Life expectancy – Females currently aged 45 / 65	Current 22.9 Future 24.4	Current 22.9 – 24.5 Future 24.3 – 25.9	✓	Light purple
Assumption	Actuary Value	PwC range	Assessment																								
Discount rate	4.80%	4.80% - 4.85%	✓																								
Pension increase rate	2.85%	2.65% - 2.95%	✓																								
Salary growth	3.85%	0.5% to 2.5% above CPI of 2.85%	✓																								
Life expectancy – Males currently aged 45 / 65	Current 21.8 Future 23.1	Current 19.5 – 22.1 Future 20.9 – 23.4	✓																								
Life expectancy – Females currently aged 45 / 65	Current 22.9 Future 24.4	Current 22.9 – 24.5 Future 24.3 – 25.9	✓																								

2. Financial Statements - key judgements and estimates





Significant judgement or estimate	Summary of management's approach	Audit Comments	Assessment	
Fire Fighters Pension Scheme			Light purple	
Assumption	Actuary Value	PwC range		Assessment
Discount rate	4.80%	4.80% - 4.85%		✓
Pension increase rate	2.90%	2.65% - 2.95%		✓
Salary growth	3.90%	1% above CPI of 2.90%		✓
Life expectancy – Males currently aged 45 / 65	Current 20.6 Future 21.9	Current 20.5 – 21.1 Future 21.8 – 22.4		✓
Life expectancy – Females currently aged 45 / 65	Current 22.9 Future 24.4	Current 22.8 – 22.4 Future 24.3 – 24.9		✓
<p>We have:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> gained assurance over the completeness and accuracy of the underlying information used to determine the estimate, gained assurance over the reasonableness of the Authority's share of LGPS pension assets, and reviewed the adequacy of disclosure of the estimate in the financial statements. <p>We have concluded the estimate is reasonable.</p>				

2. Financial Statements: Information Technology

This section provides an overview of results from our assessment of Information Technology (IT) environment and controls which included identifying risks from the use of IT related to business process controls relevant to the financial audit. This includes an overall IT General Control (ITGC) rating per IT system and details of the ratings assigned to individual control areas.

IT application	Level of assessment performed	Overall ITGC rating	ITGC control area rating			Related significant risks/other risks	Additional procedures carried out to address risks arising from our findings
			Security management	Technology acquisition, development and maintenance	Technology infrastructure		
Advanced ADVT (Core Finance Management System)	ITGC assessment (design and implementation effectiveness only)					Financial reporting	n/a
iTrent (Payroll)	ITGC assessment (design and implementation effectiveness only)					Payroll	n/a

Assessment

-  Significant deficiencies identified in IT controls relevant to the audit of financial statements
-  Non-significant deficiencies identified in IT controls relevant to the audit of financial statements/significant deficiencies identified but with sufficient mitigation of relevant risk
-  IT controls relevant to the audit of financial statements judged to be effective at the level of testing in scope
-  Not in scope for testing

2. Financial Statements: other communication requirements

We set out below details of other matters which we, as auditors, are required by auditing standards and the Code to communicate to those charged with governance.

Issue	Commentary
Matters in relation to fraud	We have previously discussed the risk of fraud with the Audit and Governance Committee. We have not been made aware of any other incidents in the period and no other issues have been identified during the course of our audit procedures.
Matters in relation to related parties	We are not aware of any related parties or related party transactions which have not been disclosed.
Matters in relation to laws and regulations	You have not made us aware of any significant incidences of non-compliance with relevant laws and regulations and we have not identified any incidences from our audit work.
Written representations	A letter of representation has been requested from the Authority, which is included in the Audit and Governance Committee papers, and appendix F of this report.

2. Financial Statements: other communication requirements



Issue	Commentary
Confirmation requests from third parties	We requested from management permission to send balance confirmation requests in relation to the Authority's bank and investment balances. This permission was granted, and the requests were sent, these were returned with positive confirmations.
Accounting practices	We have evaluated the appropriateness of the Authority's accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures. Our review found no material omissions in the financial statements.
Audit evidence and explanations/significant difficulties	With the exception of the difficulties set out at the beginning of this report, all information and explanations requested from management, has been provided.

2. Financial Statements: other communication requirements



Our responsibility

As auditors, we are required to “obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence about the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern assumption in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements and to conclude whether there is a material uncertainty about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern” (ISA (UK) 570).

Issue	Commentary
<p>Going concern</p>	<p>In performing our work on going concern, we have had reference to Statement of Recommended Practice – Practice Note 10: Audit of financial statements of public sector bodies in the United Kingdom (Revised 2020). The Financial Reporting Authority recognises that for particular sectors, it may be necessary to clarify how auditing standards are applied to an entity in a manner that is relevant and provides useful information to the users of financial statements in that sector. Practice Note 10 provides that clarification for audits of public sector bodies.</p> <p>Practice Note 10 sets out the following key principles for the consideration of going concern for public sector entities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the use of the going concern basis of accounting is not a matter of significant focus of the auditor's time and resources because the applicable financial reporting frameworks envisage that the going concern basis for accounting will apply where the entity's services will continue to be delivered by the public sector. In such cases, a material uncertainty related to going concern is unlikely to exist, and so a straightforward and standardised approach for the consideration of going concern will often be appropriate for public sector entities • for many public sector entities, the financial sustainability of the reporting entity and the services it provides is more likely to be of significant public interest than the application of the going concern basis of accounting. Our consideration of the Authority's financial sustainability is addressed by our value for money work, which is covered elsewhere in this report. <p>Practice Note 10 states that if the financial reporting framework provides for the adoption of the going concern basis of accounting on the basis of the anticipated continuation of the provision of a service in the future, the auditor applies the continued provision of service approach set out in Practice Note 10. The financial reporting framework adopted by the Authority meets this criteria, and so we have applied the continued provision of service approach. In doing so, we have considered and evaluated:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the nature of the Authority (and group) and the environment in which they operate • the Authority's (and groups) financial reporting framework • the Authority's (and groups) system of internal control for identifying events or conditions relevant to going concern • management's going concern assessment. <p>On the basis of this work, we have obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence to enable us to conclude that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a material uncertainty related to going concern has not been identified • management's use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is appropriate. <p>As part of our work reviewing the component auditors work we noted that sufficient work had been completed to concluded that Red One Limited remains a going concern.</p>

2. Financial Statements: other responsibilities under the Code

Issue	Commentary
Other information	<p>We are required to give an opinion on whether the other information published together with the audited financial statements (including the Annual Governance Statement, and Narrative Report), is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated.</p> <p>Our work in this area is complete and no inconsistencies have been identified. We plan to issue an unmodified opinion in this respect – refer to appendix G.</p>
Matters on which we report by exception	<p>We are required to report on a number of matters by exception in a number of areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • if the Annual Governance Statement does not comply with disclosure requirements set out in CIPFA/SOLACE guidance or is misleading or inconsistent with the information of which we are aware from our audit, • if we have applied any of our statutory powers or duties. • where we are not satisfied in respect of arrangements to secure value for money and have reported [a] significant weakness/es. <p>We have nothing to report on these matters.</p>
Specified procedures for Whole of Government Accounts	<p>We are required to carry out specified procedures (on behalf of the NAO) on the Whole of Government Accounts (WGA) consolidation pack under WGA group audit instructions.</p> <p>Detailed work is not required for the Authority as it does not exceed the threshold. We have therefore completed the WGA assurance statement to this effect.</p>
Certification of the closure of the audit	<p>We intend to certify the closure of the 2022/23 audit of Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Authority in the audit report, as detailed in Appendix G.</p>



3. Value for Money arrangements (VFM)

Approach to Value for Money work for 2022/23

The National Audit Office issued its guidance for auditors in April 2020. The Code requires auditors to consider whether the body has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

When reporting on these arrangements, the Code requires auditors to structure their commentary on arrangements under the three specified reporting criteria.



Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness

Arrangements for improving the way the body delivers its services. This includes arrangements for understanding costs and delivering efficiencies and improving outcomes for service users.



Financial Sustainability

Arrangements for ensuring the body can continue to deliver services. This includes planning resources to ensure adequate finances and maintain sustainable levels of spending over the medium term (3–5 years)



Governance

Arrangements for ensuring that the body makes appropriate decisions in the right way. This includes arrangements for budget setting and management, risk management, and ensuring the body makes decisions based on appropriate information

Potential types of recommendations

A range of different recommendations could be made following the completion of work on the body's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources, which are as follows:



Statutory recommendation

Written recommendations to the body under Section 24 (Schedule 7) of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. A recommendation under schedule 7 requires the body to discuss and respond publicly to the report.



Key recommendation

The Code of Audit Practice requires that where auditors identify significant weaknesses in arrangements to secure value for money they should make recommendations setting out the actions that should be taken by the body. We have defined these recommendations as 'key recommendations'.



Improvement recommendation

These recommendations, if implemented should improve the arrangements in place at the body, but are not made as a result of identifying significant weaknesses in the body's arrangements

3. VFM: our procedures and conclusions

We have completed our VFM work and our detailed commentary is set out in the separate Auditor's Annual Report, which was presented at the January 2024 Audit and Governance Committee.

As part of our work, we considered whether there were any risks of significant weakness in the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We did not identify any risks of significant weakness. We are satisfied that the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

4. Independence and ethics

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention and consider that an objective reasonable and informed third party would take the same view. We have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and confirm that we, as a firm, and each covered person, are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirements of the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and we as a firm, and each covered person, confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

Further, we have complied with the requirements of the National Audit Office's Auditor Guidance Note 01 issued in May 2020 which sets out supplementary guidance on ethical requirements for auditors of local public bodies.

Details of fees charged are detailed in Appendix D.

Transparency

Grant Thornton publishes an annual Transparency Report, which sets out details of the action we have taken over the past year to improve audit quality as well as the results of internal and external quality inspections. For more details see [Grant Thornton International Transparency report 2023](#).

Audit and non-audit services

For the purposes of our audit we have made enquiries of all Grant Thornton UK LLP teams providing services to the Authority. No non-audit services were identified which were charged from the beginning of the financial year to the date of signing the audit opinion and we are not aware of any that are planned.

4. Independence and ethics

As part of our assessment of our independence we note the following matters:

Matter	Conclusion
Relationships with Grant Thornton	We are not aware of any relationships between Grant Thornton and the Authority that may reasonably be thought to bear on our integrity, independence and objectivity.
Relationships and Investments held by individuals	We have not identified any potential issues in respect of personal relationships with the Group or investments in the Group held by individuals.
Employment of Grant Thornton staff	We are not aware of any former Grant Thornton partners or staff being employed, or holding discussions in respect of employment, by the Group as a director or in a senior management role covering financial, accounting or control related areas.
Business relationships	We have not identified any business relationships between Grant Thornton and the Group.
Contingent fees in relation to non-audit services	No contingent fee arrangements are in place for non-audit services provided.
Gifts and hospitality	We have not identified any gifts or hospitality provided to, or received from, a member of the Group's board, senior management or staff.

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention and consider that an objective reasonable and informed third party would take the same view. The firm and each covered person [and network firms] have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements

Following this consideration we can confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements. In making the above judgement, we have also been mindful of the quantum of non-audit fees compared to audit fees disclosed in the financial statements and estimated for the current year.

Appendices

- A. Communication of audit matters to those charged with governance
- B. Follow up of prior year recommendations
- C. Audit Adjustments
- D. Fees and non-audit services
- E. Auditing developments
- F. Management Letter of Representation
- G. Audit opinion

A. Communication of audit matters to those charged with governance

Our communication plan	Audit Plan	Audit Findings
Respective responsibilities of auditor and management/those charged with governance	•	
Overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit, form, timing and expected general content of communications including significant risks	•	
Confirmation of independence and objectivity	•	•
A statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence. Relationships and other matters which might be thought to bear on independence. Details of non-audit work performed by Grant Thornton UK LLP and network firms, together with fees charged. Details of safeguards applied to threats to independence	•	•
Significant findings from the audit		•
Significant matters and issue arising during the audit and written representations that have been sought		•
Significant difficulties encountered during the audit		•
Significant deficiencies in internal control identified during the audit		•
Significant matters arising in connection with related parties		•
Identification or suspicion of fraud involving management and/or which results in material misstatement of the financial statements		•
Non-compliance with laws and regulations		•
Unadjusted misstatements and material disclosure omissions		•
Expected modifications to the auditor's report, or emphasis of matter		•

ISA (UK) 260, as well as other ISAs (UK), prescribe matters which we are required to communicate with those charged with governance, and which we set out in the table here.

This document, the Audit Findings, outlines those key issues, findings and other matters arising from the audit, which we consider should be communicated in writing rather than orally, together with an explanation as to how these have been resolved.

Respective responsibilities

As auditor we are responsible for performing the audit in accordance with ISAs (UK), which is directed towards forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance.

The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities.

Distribution of this Audit Findings report

Whilst we seek to ensure our audit findings are distributed to those individuals charged with governance, we are also required to distribute our findings to those members of senior management with significant operational and strategic responsibilities. We are grateful for your specific consideration and onward distribution of our report to all those charged with governance.

B. Follow up of prior year recommendations

	Assessment	Issue and risk previously communicated	Update on actions taken to address the issue
We identified the following issues in the audit of Devon and Somerset Fire Authority's prior year audits. This resulted in 6 recommendations being reported in our 2021/22 Audit Findings report.	X	Our work on journals identified that there is no formal approval process for posting journals, therefore the finance team members who have access to post journals are effectively approving their own entry. The Head of Finance and Senior Accountant complete a review of a sample of journal entries posted twice a year, however this is done retrospectively and is not a preventative control. We recommended that the Authority introduce preventative controls for the approval of journals.	The Authority continue to accept the risk in this area as with the size of team introducing preventative controls would be unworkable.
Management have addressed 3 of the recommendations made in prior periods., 3 remain in progress.	X	Our work on journals work noted that there is no authorisation limit on posting journals, therefore journal users are not restricted by the value they post. We recommended implementing a structure/policy on authorisation limits.	The Authority continue to accept the risk in this area as with the size of team introducing preventative controls would be unworkable.
	✓	The code requires that where areas of estimation uncertainty are disclosed the note should set out the impact of changes to the key assumptions on the values within the financial statements. We recommended that the Authority enhance the note 4 disclosure, to meet the requirements of the code.	The note 4 disclosure in the 2022/23 financial statements has been enhanced and is now Code compliant.
	X	We note that the Authority has made no assessment of the impact of the implementation of IFRS16. This is a significant change that will impact on how the Authority recognises its leases. There are a number of steps to be completed as part of the assessment that can be time consuming. We recommend that the Authority commence its assessment of the impact of IFRS16 implantation.	Management are aware of the requirement to implement the standard and will be ready for the date of introduction.
	✓	Our testing of accruals identified three items that were not accrued for using correct treatment at year end. We recommended that the Authority review its accruals process as part of the 2022/23 closedown.	Our testing in 2022/23 has identified no issues around cut-off.
	✓	There were a number IT related policies that are past their review date for update. A number are pre-covid. IT has changed significantly due to the pandemic with remote working etc which exposes the Authority to greater risk.	Management have reviewed IT policies and will continue to update them in accordance with their updated processes and timelines.

Assessment

- ✓ Action completed
- X Not yet addressed

C. Audit Adjustments

We are required to report all non-trivial misstatements to those charged with governance, whether or not the accounts have been adjusted by management.

Impact of adjusted and unadjusted misstatements

We did not identify any adjusted or unadjusted misstatements as part of our 2022/23 financial statements audit.

Impact of prior year unadjusted misstatements

The table below provides details of adjustments identified during the prior year audit which had not been made within the final set of 2021/22 financial statements. These were both corrected during the 2022/23 financial year.

Detail	Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement £'000	Statement of Financial Position £'000	Impact on total net expenditure £'000
Our work on depreciation identified that the Authority had been using the incorrect useful economic life when calculating depreciation to be charged on building assets. We have assessed the overall impact of across the asset base to be an overstatement of depreciation of £727k. This is therefore an understatement of the Authority's asset on the balance sheet. This will be corrected on revaluation in the current year	(727)	727	(727)
Our work identified that for 3 senior officers the remuneration disclosed did not include car allowances. The total differences are £14k.	-	-	-
Overall impact	(£727)	£727	(£727)

C. Audit Adjustments

Misclassification and disclosure changes

The table below provides details of misclassification and disclosure changes identified during the audit which have been made in the final set of financial statements

Disclosure/issue/Omission	Adjusted?
<p>An issue was noted as part of our debtors work where 2 prepayments totalling £2.2m were included within the other debtors' balance. The Code requires that where material prepayments should be disclosed separately. The Authority identified further prepayments and the total amount of prepayments was £2.6m.. The debtors' note has been updated to disclose these amounts on a separate line item. The overall debtor balance remains unchanged.</p>	✓
<p>We noted as part of our work on the audit fee disclosure we noted that the prior year audit fee did not agree to the to the actual fee billed. The actual fee for the 21/22 audit was £57,280. The difference was £6k in relation to group work and working offsite, and £10k due audit overruns. All of these items have now been billed. The disclosure note has been updated to reflect this using a footnote.</p>	✓
<p>As part of our group work we identified a related parties disclosure issue relating to Red One Limited. The draft note stated that 'As at 31 March 2023 the Authority had a closing balance of £380k, which is an increase from last year where we reported a closing balance of £499k'.</p> <p>This narrative has been updated to show that there was actually a decrease in the balance. The amount disclosed was also updated from £380k to £436k.</p>	✓
<p>During our examination of the accounting policies, it was observed that the current Depreciation Policy states, "Vehicles, plant, and furniture and equipment – useful economic life assessed to range from 5-7 years." Upon examining the fixed asset register, we have identified a need for an update to this policy. This has now been amended to "Vehicles, plant and furniture and equipment – useful economic life assessed per individual asset, with a range of 5-30 years. Lives beyond 10 years will only affect a very few specialist assets."</p> <p>This adjustment aims to accurately represent the diverse useful economic lives of individual assets within the authority, providing a more precise framework for depreciation calculations.</p>	✓
<p>As part of our financial instruments note review we identified the following 4 discrepancies within note 12 of Financial Statements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Debtors (Trade Receivables): Amortised costs in Note 12 is £8,162k, however it should have been £5,150k. 2) Financial Liabilities: PWLB Debt (Level 2) held at amortised cost in Note 12 is zero, however it should have been £24,358k as per Capital Valuation Summary. 3) Financial Assets: Financial Assets held at amortized cost in Note 12 is zero, however it should have been £29,050k as per Capital valuation summary. 4) Credit Losses: Balance brought forward for expected credit losses is zero, however it should have been £2k as per other disclosures in financial statement (and closing per PY financial statements). 	✓

C. Audit Adjustments

Disclosure/issue/Omission

Adjusted?

As part of our work agreeing pensions disclosures to the IAS19 report, we noted the following 6 discrepancies:



- 1) 'Employers contributions payable to scheme' for LGPS was disclosed as £2388k but should have been -£2,456k per IAS19 report.
- 2) 'Actuarial gains and losses arising on changes in financial assumptions' for LG Unfunded currently was disclosed as £0k but should have been -£45k for CY and -7k for PY per IAS19 report.
- 3) 'Present value of liabilities for LGPS' was disclosed as -£122,610k but should have been -£122,913kk per IAS19 report.
- 4) 'Surplus/(deficit) in the scheme for Firefighters Pension schemes' was disclosed as £-551,560k but should have been £564,748k per IAS19 report.
- 5) 'Surplus/(deficit) in the scheme for Firefighters Compensation Regulations' was disclosed as -£-51,070k but should have been £-37,883k per IAS19 report.
- 6) Present value of liabilities for Firefighters Pension schemes' was disclosed as -£-551,560k but should have been £564,748k per IAS19 report.

D. Fees and non-audit services

We confirm below our final fees charged for the audit. We confirm that there are no fees for the provision of non audit services.

Audit fees	Proposed fee £	Final fee £
Scale fee per PSAA for 2022-23	31,980	31,980
Additional Requirements – Payroll Change of Circumstances (Information Provided by the Entity) IPE Testing	500	500
Value for Money audit – new NAO requirements	8,000	8,000
ISA 540	1,800	1,800
ISA 315	3,000	3,000
Additional journals testing	2,100	2,100
Additional audit work on Group Accounts	5,000	5,000
Total audit fees (excluding VAT)	52,380	52,380

The fees reconcile to the financial statements for the 2022/23 financial year. There was however a difference to the prior year figures disclosed as these did not total the amount billed in relation to the 2021/22. The actual amount billed was £57,280, a £16,000 difference. The difference relates to addition charges relating to audit overruns, off site working and work on the group financial statements.

This difference has been explained by the Authority in the revised financial statements.

None of the above services were provided on a contingent fee basis. This covers all services provided by us and our network to the group/company, its directors and senior management and its affiliates, and other services provided to other known connected parties that may reasonably be thought to bear on our integrity, objectivity or independence. (The FRC Ethical Standard (ES 1.69))

E. Auditing developments

Revised ISAs

There are changes to the following ISA (UK):

ISA (UK) 315 (Revised July 2020) 'Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement'

This impacts audits of financial statement for periods commencing on or after 15 December 2021.

ISA (UK) 220 (Revised July 2021) 'Quality Management for an Audit of Financial Statements'

ISA (UK) 240 (Revised May 2021) 'The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements'

A summary of the impact of the key changes on various aspects of the audit is included below:

These changes will impact audit for audits of financial statement for periods commencing on or after 15 December 2022.

Area of change	Impact of changes
Risk assessment	The nature, timing and extent of audit procedures performed in support of the audit opinion may change due to clarification of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the risk assessment process, which provides the basis for the assessment of the risks of material misstatement and the design of audit procedures the identification and extent of work effort needed for indirect and direct controls in the system of internal control the controls for which design and implementation needs to be assess and how that impacts sampling the considerations for using automated tools and techniques.
Direction, supervision and review of the engagement	Greater responsibilities, audit procedures and actions are assigned directly to the engagement partner, resulting in increased involvement in the performance and review of audit procedures.
Professional scepticism	The design, nature, timing and extent of audit procedures performed in support of the audit opinion may change due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> increased emphasis on the exercise of professional judgement and professional scepticism an equal focus on both corroborative and contradictory information obtained and used in generating audit evidence increased guidance on management and auditor bias additional focus on the authenticity of information used as audit evidence a focus on response to inquiries that appear implausible
Definition of engagement team	The definition of engagement team when applied in a group audit, will include both the group auditors and the component auditors. The implications of this will become clearer when the auditing standard governing special considerations for group audits is finalised. In the interim, the expectation is that this will extend a number of requirements in the standard directed at the 'engagement team' to component auditors in addition to the group auditor. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consideration is also being given to the potential impacts on confidentiality and independence.
Fraud	The design, nature timing and extent of audit procedures performed in support of the audit opinion may change due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> clarification of the requirements relating to understanding fraud risk factors additional communications with management or those charged with governance
Documentation	The amendments to these auditing standards will also result in additional documentation requirements to demonstrate how these requirements have been addressed.

F. Management Letter of Representation

Grant Thornton UK LLP

2 Glass Wharf

Temple Quay

Bristol

BS2 0EL

26 March 2024

Dear Grant Thornton UK LLP

Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Authority Financial Statements for the year ended 31 March 2023

This representation letter is provided in connection with the audit of the financial statements of Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Authority and its subsidiary undertaking, Red One Limited for the year ended 31 March 2023 for the purpose of expressing an opinion as to whether the group and Authority financial statements give a true and fair view in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards and the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2022/23 and applicable law.

We confirm that to the best of our knowledge and belief having made such inquiries as we considered necessary for the purpose of appropriately informing ourselves:

Financial Statements

We have fulfilled our responsibilities for the preparation of the group and Authority's financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards and the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2022/23 ("the Code"); in particular the financial statements are fairly presented in accordance therewith.

We have complied with the requirements of all statutory directions affecting the group and Authority and these matters have been appropriately reflected and disclosed in the financial statements.

The Authority has complied with all aspects of contractual agreements that could have a material effect on the group and Authority financial statements in the event of non-compliance. There has been no non-compliance with requirements of any regulatory authorities that could have a material effect on the financial statements in the event of non-compliance.

We acknowledge our responsibility for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control to prevent and detect fraud.

Significant assumptions used by us in making accounting estimates, including those measured at fair value, are reasonable. We are satisfied that the material judgements used in the preparation of the financial statements are soundly based, in accordance with the Code and adequately disclosed in the financial statements. We understand our responsibilities includes identifying and considering alternative, methods, assumptions or source data that would be equally valid under the financial reporting framework, and why these alternatives were rejected in favour of the estimate used. We are satisfied that the methods, the data and the significant assumptions used by us in making accounting estimates and their related disclosures are appropriate to achieve recognition, measurement or disclosure that is reasonable in accordance with the Code and adequately disclosed in the financial statements.

We confirm that we are satisfied that the actuarial assumptions underlying the valuation of pension scheme assets and liabilities for IAS19 Employee Benefits disclosures are consistent with our knowledge. We confirm that all settlements and curtailments have been identified and properly accounted for. We also confirm that all significant post-employment benefits have been identified and properly accounted for.

F. Management Letter of Representation (continued)

Except as disclosed in the group and Authority financial statements:

- there are no unrecorded liabilities, actual or contingent
- none of the assets of the group and Authority has been assigned, pledged or mortgaged
- there are no material prior year charges or credits, nor exceptional or non-recurring items requiring separate disclosure.

Related party relationships and transactions have been appropriately accounted for and disclosed in accordance with the requirements of International Financial Reporting Standards and the Code.

All events subsequent to the date of the financial statements and for which International Financial Reporting Standards and the Code require adjustment or disclosure have been adjusted or disclosed.

We have considered the adjusted misstatements, and misclassification and disclosures changes schedules included in your Audit Findings Report. The group and Authority financial statements have been amended for these misstatements, misclassifications and disclosure changes and are free of material misstatements, including omissions.

The financial statements are free of material misstatements, including omissions.

Actual or possible litigation and claims have been accounted for and disclosed in accordance with the requirements of International Financial Reporting Standards.

We have no plans or intentions that may materially alter the carrying value or classification of assets and liabilities reflected in the financial statements.

We have updated our going concern assessment. We continue to believe that the group and Authority's financial statements should be prepared on a going concern basis and have not identified any material uncertainties related to going concern on the grounds that:

- the nature of the group and Authority means that, notwithstanding any intention to cease the group and Authority operations in their current form, it will continue to be appropriate to adopt the going concern basis of accounting because, in such an event, services it performs can be expected to continue to be delivered by related public authorities and preparing the financial statements on a going concern basis will still provide a faithful representation of the items in the financial statements

- the financial reporting framework permits the entry to prepare its financial statements on the basis of the presumption set out under a) above; and
- the group and Authority's system of internal control has not identified any events or conditions relevant to going concern.

We believe that no further disclosures relating to the group and Authority's ability to continue as a going concern need to be made in the financial statements

The group and Authority has complied with all aspects of ring-fenced grants that could have a material effect on the group and Authority's financial statements in the event of non-compliance.

i. Actual or possible litigation and claims have been accounted for and disclosed in accordance with the requirements of International Financial Reporting Standards. We have considered equal pay claims and confirm that these have all been settled with no outstanding balances due as at 31 March 2023.

ii. We have considered the property portfolio of the Authority with regard to Reinforced Autoclaved Aerated Concrete (RAAC). Our assessment is that there is no material impact on the valuation of any affected buildings included in the financial statements as at 31 March 2023.

Information Provided

We have provided you with:

- access to all information of which we are aware that is relevant to the preparation of the group and Authority's financial statements such as records, documentation and other matters;
- additional information that you have requested from us for the purpose of your audit; and
- access to persons within the Authority via remote arrangements from whom you determined it necessary to obtain audit evidence.

We have communicated to you all deficiencies in internal control of which management is aware.

F. Management Letter of Representation (continued)

All transactions have been recorded in the accounting records and are reflected in the financial statements.

We have disclosed to you the results of our assessment of the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud.

We have disclosed to you all information in relation to fraud or suspected fraud that we are aware of and that affects the group and Authority, and involves:

- a. management;
- b. employees who have significant roles in internal control; or
- c. others where the fraud could have a material effect on the financial statements.

We have disclosed to you all information in relation to allegations of fraud, or suspected fraud, affecting the financial statements communicated by employees, former employees, analysts, regulators or others.

We have disclosed to you all known instances of non-compliance or suspected non-compliance with laws and regulations whose effects should be considered when preparing financial statements.

We have disclosed to you the identity of the group and Authority 's related parties and all the related party relationships and transactions of which we are aware.

We have disclosed to you all known actual or possible litigation and claims whose effects should be considered when preparing the financial statements.

Annual Governance Statement

We are satisfied that the Annual Governance Statement (AGS) fairly reflects the Authority 's risk assurance and governance framework and we confirm that we are not aware of any significant risks that are not disclosed within the AGS.

Narrative Report

The disclosures within the Narrative Report fairly reflect our understanding of the group and Authority 's financial and operating performance over the period covered by the financial statements.

Approval

The approval of this letter of representation was minuted by the Authority's Audit and Governance Committee at its meeting on 26 March 2023.

G. Audit opinion

Our audit opinion is included below.

We anticipate we will provide the group with an unmodified audit report

Independent auditor's report to the members of Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Authority

Report on the audit of the financial statements

Opinion on financial statements

We have audited the financial statements of Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Authority (the 'Authority') and its subsidiary (the 'group') for the year ended 31 March 2023, which comprise the Group Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement, the Group Balance Sheet, the Group Cash Flow Statement, the Group Statement of Movement in Reserves, the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement, the Balance Sheet, the Cash Flow Statement, the Statement of Movement in Reserves and notes to the financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies, and include the firefighters' pension fund financial statements comprising the Fire Fighters Pension Fund, the Net Assets Statement and notes to the financial statements. The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2022/23.

In our opinion, the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the financial position of the group and of the Authority as at 31 March 2023 and of the group's expenditure and income and the Authority's expenditure and income for the year then ended;
- have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2022/23; and
- have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs (UK)) and applicable law, as required by the Code of Audit Practice (2020) ("the Code of Audit Practice") approved by the Comptroller and Auditor General. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the 'Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements' section of our report. We are independent of the group and the Authority in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in the UK, including the FRC's Ethical Standard, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Conclusions relating to going concern

We are responsible for concluding on the appropriateness of the Treasurer's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the group and the Authority's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify the auditor's opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Authority or the group to cease to continue as a going concern.

In our evaluation of the Treasurer's conclusions, and in accordance with the expectation set out within the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2022/23 that the Authority's and group's financial statements shall be prepared on a going concern basis, we considered the inherent risks associated with the continuation of services provided by the group and the Authority. In doing so we had regard to the guidance provided in Practice Note 10 Audit of financial statements and regularity of public sector bodies in the United Kingdom (Revised 2022) on the application of ISA (UK) 570 Going Concern to public sector entities. We assessed the reasonableness of the basis of preparation used by the group and Authority and the group and Authority's disclosures over the going concern period.

G. Audit opinion (continued)

In auditing the financial statements, we have concluded that the Treasurer's use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is appropriate.

Based on the work we have performed, we have not identified any material uncertainties relating to events or conditions that, individually or collectively, may cast significant doubt on the Authority's and the group's ability to continue as a going concern for a period of at least twelve months from when the financial statements are authorised for issue.

Our responsibilities and the responsibilities of the Treasurer with respect to going concern are described in the relevant sections of this report.

Other information

The Treasurer is responsible for the other information. The other information comprises the information included in the Annual Statement of Assurance and the Statement of Accounts, other than the financial statements, and our auditor's report thereon. Our opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information and, except to the extent otherwise explicitly stated in our report, we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

Our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the financial statements, or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If we identify such material inconsistencies or apparent material misstatements, we are required to determine whether there is a material misstatement in the financial statements themselves. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, we are required to report that fact.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

Other information we are required to report on by exception under the Code of Audit Practice

Under the Code of Audit Practice published by the National Audit Office in April 2020 on behalf of the Comptroller and Auditor General (the Code of Audit Practice) we are required to consider whether the Annual Statement of Assurance does not comply with 'delivering good governance in Local Government Framework 2016 Edition' published by CIPFA and SOLACE or is misleading or inconsistent with the information of which we are aware from our audit. We are not required to consider whether the Annual Statement of Assurance addresses all risks and controls or that risks are satisfactorily addressed by internal controls.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

Opinion on other matters required by the Code of Audit Practice

In our opinion, based on the work undertaken in the course of the audit of the financial statements and our knowledge of the Authority, the other information published together with the financial statements in the Statement of Accounts and the Annual Statement of Assurance for the financial year for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the financial statements.

Matters on which we are required to report by exception

Under the Code of Audit Practice, we are required to report to you if:

- we issue a report in the public interest under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we make a written recommendation to the Authority under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we make an application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law under Section 28 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or;
- we issue an advisory notice under Section 29 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we make an application for judicial review under Section 31 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit.

We have nothing to report in respect of the above matters.

G. Audit opinion (continued)

Responsibilities of the Authority and the Treasurer

As explained more fully in the Statement of Responsibilities, the Authority is required to make arrangements for the proper administration of its financial affairs and to secure that one of its officers has the responsibility for the administration of those affairs. In this authority, that officer is the Treasurer. The Treasurer is responsible for the preparation of the Statement of Accounts, which includes the financial statements, in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2022/23, for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view, and for such internal control as the Treasurer determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, the Treasurer is responsible for assessing the Authority's and the group's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless they have been informed by the relevant national body of the intention to dissolve the Authority and the group without the transfer of its services to another public sector entity.

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material misstatement when it exists.

Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements. Irregularities, including fraud, are instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations. The extent to which our procedures are capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud, is detailed below.

We obtained an understanding of the legal and regulatory frameworks that are applicable to the group and Authority and determined that the most significant which are directly relevant to specific assertions in the financial statements are those related to the reporting frameworks (the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2022/23,

The Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015, the Local Government Act 2003 and the Fire and Rescue Act 2004. We also identified the following additional regulatory frameworks in respect of the firefighters pension fund: The Public Service Pensions Act 2013, the Firefighters' Pension Scheme (England) Regulations 2014 and the Firefighters' Pension Scheme (England) Order 2006.

We enquired of management and the Audit and Governance Committee, concerning the group and Authority's policies and procedures relating to:

- the identification, evaluation and compliance with laws and regulations;
- the detection and response to the risks of fraud; and
- the establishment of internal controls to mitigate risks related to fraud or non-compliance with laws and regulations.

We enquired of management, internal audit and the Audit and Governance Committee, whether they were aware of any instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations or whether they had any knowledge of actual, suspected or alleged fraud.

- We assessed the susceptibility of the Authority's financial statements to material misstatement, including how fraud might occur, by evaluating officers' incentives and opportunities for manipulation of the financial statements. This included the evaluation of the risk of management override of controls. We determined that the principal risks were in relation to journals, accounting estimates and critical judgements made by management.
- Our audit procedures involved:
 - evaluation of the design effectiveness of controls that the Treasurer has in place to prevent and detect fraud;
 - journal entry testing, with a focus on management override of control;
 - challenging assumptions and judgements made by management in its significant accounting estimates in respect of land and buildings, and defined benefit pensions liability valuations;
 - assessing the extent of compliance with the relevant laws and regulations as part of our procedures on the related financial statement item.

G. Audit opinion (continued)

These audit procedures were designed to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements were free from fraud or error. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement due to fraud is higher than the risk of not detecting one resulting from error and detecting irregularities that result from fraud is inherently more difficult than detecting those that result from error, as fraud may involve collusion, deliberate concealment, forgery or intentional misrepresentations. Also, the further removed non-compliance with laws and regulations is from events and transactions reflected in the financial statements, the less likely we would become aware of it.

We communicated relevant laws and regulations and potential fraud risks to all engagement team members, including the potential for fraud in revenue and expenditure recognition, and the significant accounting estimates related to land and buildings, and defined benefit pensions liability valuations. We remained alert to any indications of non-compliance with laws and regulations, including fraud, throughout the audit.

Our assessment of the appropriateness of the collective competence and capabilities of the group and Authority's engagement team [and component auditors] included consideration of the engagement team's and component auditor's:

- understanding of, and practical experience with audit engagements of a similar nature and complexity through appropriate training and participation
- knowledge of the local government sector in which the group and Authority operates
- understanding of the legal and regulatory requirements specific to the Authority and group including:
 - the provisions of the applicable legislation
 - guidance issued by CIPFA/LASAAC and SOLACE
 - the applicable statutory provisions.

In assessing the potential risks of material misstatement, we obtained an understanding of:

- the Authority and group's operations, including the nature of its income and expenditure and its services and of its objectives and strategies to understand the classes of transactions, account balances, expected financial statement disclosures and business risks that may result in risks of material misstatement.

- the Authority and group's control environment, including the policies and procedures implemented by the Authority and group to ensure compliance with the requirements of the financial reporting framework.

For components at which audit procedures were performed, we requested component auditors report to us instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that gave rise to a risk of material misstatement of the group financial statements. No such matters were identified by the component auditors.

A further description of our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements is located on the Financial Reporting Council's website at: www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities. This description forms part of our auditor's report.

Report on other legal and regulatory requirements – the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources

Matter on which we are required to report by exception – the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources

Under the Code of Audit Practice, we are required to report to you if, in our opinion, we have not been able to satisfy ourselves that the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2023.

We have nothing to report in respect of the above matter.

Responsibilities of the Authority

The Authority is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

G. Audit opinion (continued)

Auditor's responsibilities for the review of the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources

We are required under Section 20(1)(c) of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to be satisfied that the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We are not required to consider, nor have we considered, whether all aspects of the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources are operating effectively.

We undertake our review in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice, having regard to the guidance issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General in January 2023. This guidance sets out the arrangements that fall within the scope of 'proper arrangements'. When reporting on these arrangements, the Code of Audit Practice requires auditors to structure their commentary on arrangements under three specified reporting criteria:

- Financial sustainability: how the Authority plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services;
- Governance: how the Authority ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks; and
- Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness: how the Authority uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services.

We document our understanding of the arrangements the Authority has in place for each of these three specified reporting criteria, gathering sufficient evidence to support our risk assessment and commentary in our Auditor's Annual Report. In undertaking our work, we consider whether there is evidence to suggest that there are significant weaknesses in arrangements.

Report on other legal and regulatory requirements – Audit certificate

We certify that we have completed the audit of Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Authority for the year ended 31 March 2023 in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the Code of Audit Practice.

Use of our report

This report is made solely to the members of the Authority, as a body, in accordance with Part 5 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and as set out in paragraph 44 of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies published by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Authority's members those matters we are required to state to them in an auditor's report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Authority and the Authority's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

Barrie Morris, Key Audit Partner

for and on behalf of Grant Thornton UK LLP, Local Auditor

Bristol

